The Naked Facts
Dear Friend:
DONATE $>$
It is not news to any of us that boys and girls are different. That difference has traditionally been respected by signs on or near the doors of bathrooms, public showers and locker rooms that indicate that the
facility is to be used exclusively by "boys" or "girls."
But that simple notion - sex separate facilities - is now But that simple notion - sha separ country.
being challenged throughout the

## And in California, Privacy For All intends to do

 something about itThis summer marks the kickoff of signature gathering for a common sense initiative that respects the naked for a common sense initiative that respects the naked
facts that boys and girls are different. It will protect privacy for all - those that are uncomfortable in
traditionally sex separate facilities and those that do want the intrusion of the oppositi, enderder where
individuals may be fully or partially undressed. You can read the text of the Initiative here. Simply put, once this initiative qualifies and is approved by voters, California's government owned public
restrooms, showers and locker rooms will remain restrooms, showers and locker rooms will remain
separated by physica and legal gender. separated by physical and legal gender
Boys in the boys' bathrooms and showers. Girls in the
girls' bathrooms and showers
California private businesses will be able to choos
their own path If they wish to their own path. If they wish to operate their
bathrooms or other facilities based on "gende bathrooms or other facilities based on "gender
identity" versus "gender reality" they can do so. if they want to provide traditional privacy and separation, they cannot be sued for making this
choice. choice.
Of course there are exceptions in the proposed initiative for single stall or family facilities, as well as for those gender.
This initiative is motivated by compassion for those that This initiative is motivated by compassion for thos
feel that biology has betrayed them and sincerely identify with a gender that is different than their physical body. But it is also motivated by compassion for
those that are justifiably embarrassed or humiliated by those tated by unwanted exposure when physical boys or girls are
undressed or partially dressed in the same facility.
Some of you might be thinking that this sounds familiar signature gathering to keep bathrooms and other
facilities separate.

Two years ago California's Governor signed controversial, first in the country legislation that would
allow $\mathrm{K}-12$ students in all Californi public schools allow K - 12 students in all California public schools to choose their bathroom, shower or locker room based on identified as a girl, this new law would have allowed hin to use the girls' locker room, shower and bathroom.
Many of you helped challenge that new dictate as Privacy For All Students gathered almost 620,000
signatures for a referendum to overturn the law an protect student privacy in public school bathrooms. That battle is ongoing. When elections officials took the
unprecedented sten of throwing oft one in five unprecedented step of throwing out one in five
signatures the referendum was just short of qualif But PFAS was certain that it had presented more than enough valid signatures, and they are challenging the decision in court. PFAS won a first important battle and even forced the State to pay their legal fees. They $\frac{\text { expect to }}{\text { motion. }}$

This new initititive is different and Privacy For All Students has broadened to Privacy For All.

The earlier referendum would have turned back the
2013 public school bathroom law. But since then, many proponents of open bathrooms, showers and locker rooms have begun to argue that they do not need any specific law or even a court decision to take down the
"boys" and "girls" signs on all bathrooms in California They argue that fainess and a desire to stop bullyin They argue that fairness, and a desire to stop bully
are justification enough for new bathroom rules.
And they often try to shut down debate by arguing that opposit
hate.

Let's address that last point first. Modesty is not hate.
As our name implies, we desire privacy for all. PFA wants to show com assis not comfortable in sex separated facilities as well as the majority that expect that they will not be forced to view or be viewed in a mixed gender facility
The naked fact is that boys and girls are physically
different. Sincerity does not trump nakedness. Forcin boys and girls to share these facilities is bullying. Keeping facilities sex separate and making accommodations for those that ore ent comfortable is
compassionate and respectul of all.

If you share the view of Privacy For All that this just com
support.
In the coming months we need to gather about 365,000 signatures to place this initiative before Califorria voters
in November of 2016. That is about 140,000 signatures In November of 2016 . That is about 140,000 signatures
less than was required for the earlier referendum effort.

Click here to print a petition. Fill it out completely, and return to
petition.
We also need your financial support. There is no hidss a ter inititative in California. Please donate to Privacy For All today
Finally, help us get out the word about this initiative.


Visit and direct others to download a petition from our website at www PrivacyForAll.con.

