

TRANSADVOCATE

Trans Exterminationists In Their Own Words

By *monica_roberts*

Published April 11, 2013

https://www.transadvocate.com/splc-astroterfing_n_8989.htm

Abstract

One of the things that mystifies me is why The Southern Poverty Law Center hasn't declared Trans Exterminationist Radical Feminism as a hate group. Could it be because the rumor may be true that a TERF works for SPLC and squashes the subject every time it comes up in SPLC circles? SO if you think [...]

Article

One of the things that mystifies me is why The Southern Poverty Law Center hasn't declared Trans Exterminationist Radical Feminism as a hate group. Could it be because the rumor may be true that a TERF works for SPLC and squashes the subject every time it comes up in SPLC circles?

SO if you think I being harsh when I call this group of rad fems Whyte Womyn Gone Wyld, trans oppressors or wonder why I have zero tolerance for their hate speech.

I've learned from my people's history that you can't ignore vanillacentric privileged rabid haters that loudly and repeatedly call for [pullquote align="right"]Could it be because the rumor may be true that a TERF works for SPLC and squashes the subject every time it comes up in SPLC circles?[/pullquote]your extermination from the planet. You take them at their word they're serious

The TERF's have to be confronted, called out, and their crap exposed at every opportunity. They started the War on Transwomen back in the 70's, and we have no choice but to fight them tooth and nail and end it with victory on our terms.

And to borrow a line from former Sen. Trent Lott (R-MS), "I'm a firm believer in feeding people their own words back to them, when it's appropriate."

Time to feed their own words back to them on a platter. Bon appetit, TERF's.

And now...the Trans Exterminationists

"All transsexuals rape women's bodies by reducing the real female form to an artifact, and appropriating this body for themselves. "

The transsexually constructed lesbian-feminist feeds off woman's true energy source, i.e. her woman-identified self. It is he who recognizes that if female spirit, mind, creativity and sexuality exist anywhere in a powerful way it is here, among lesbian-feminists

I hope to see the very concept of Jewry completely obliterated.

That last one is from Heinrich Himmler, Memo March 23, 1941. Quoted in “Murderous Science” - Page 48 - by Benno Müller-Hill - History - 1998. Just wanted to give you peeps something to compare it to. Back to the post.:

I contend that the problem with transsexualism would best be served by morally mandating it out of existence

Those are all from Professor of Ethics (?) Janice Raymond’s work “The Transsexual Empire: the making of the She-male”

From a book by Janice Raymond’s PhD Supervisor Mary Daly

“Today the Frankenstein phenomenon is omnipresent not only in religious myth, but in its offspring, phallocratic technology. The insane desire for power, the madness of boundary violation, is the mark of necrophiliacs who sense the lack of soul/spirit/life-loving principle with themselves and therefore try to invade and kill off all spirit, substituting conglomerates of corpses. This necrophilic invasion/elimination takes a variety of forms. Transsexualism is an example ...”

“Dionysus sometimes assumed a girl-like form. The phenomenon of the drag queen dramatically demonstrates such boundary violation... As ethicist Janice Raymond has pointed out, the majority of transsexuals are “male to female,” while transsexed females basically function as tokens, and are used by the rulers of the transsexual empire to hide the real nature of the game. In transsexualism, males put on “female” bodies (which are in fact pseudofemale)... The Dionysian solution... is The Final Solution.”

Gloria Steinem’s five page transphobic scribbblings from her 1983 book Outrageous Acts and Everyday Rebellions which heavily cited Janice Raymond’s waste of trees.

In other words, transsexuals are paying an extreme tribute to the power of sex roles. In order to set their real human personalities free, they surgically mutilate their own bodies...

Instead of serving more lifesaving but often less lucrative needs for their surgical and hormone-therapy skills, some physicians are aiding individuals who are desperately trying to conform to an unjust society. It’s a small group of successful physicians she [Janice Raymond] names ‘the transsexual empire’

Here’s the verbal grenade she launched at Renee Richards in 1977.

“At a minimum, it was a diversion from the widespread problems of sexual inequality.” She writes that, while she supports the right of individuals to identify as they choose, she claims that, in many cases, transsexuals “surgically mutilate their own bodies” in order to conform to a gender role that is inexorably tied to physical body parts. She concludes that “feminists are right to feel uncomfortable about the need for and uses of transsexualism.”

Julie Bindel, who in a delicious piece of irony is not being hated on by the TERF’s she was in lock step with.

“Those who ‘transition’ seem to become stereotypical in their appearance -- f**k-me shoes and birds’ nest hair for the boys; beards, muscles and tattoos for the girls. Think about a world inhabited just by transsexuals. It would look like the set of Grease.”

Germaine Greer (which is why she got glitterbombed)

Nowadays we are all likely to meet people who think they are women, have women’s names, and feminine clothes and lots of eyeshadow, who seem to us to be some kind of ghastly parody, though it isn’t polite to say so. We pretend that all the people passing for female really are. Other delusions may be challenged, but not a man’s delusion that he is female.

From Pantomime Dames

“The only way a man can get rid of healthy genitals is to say that he is convinced that he is a woman. Then another man will remove them and gladly. In order to justify sex-change surgery a new disorder called gender dysphoria has come into being. The disease has no biological marker; its presence is discerned by a history of inappropriately gendered behaviour, social disability and affective disorder. . . .

Governments that consist of very few women have hurried to recognize as women men who believe that they are women and have had themselves castrated to prove it, because they see women not as another sex but as a non-sex. No so-called sex-change has ever begged for a uterus-and-ovaries transplant; if uterus-and-ovaries transplants were made mandatory for wannabe women they would disappear overnight. The insistence that manmade women be accepted as women is the institutional expression of the mistaken conviction that women are defective males. The biological truth is the opposite; all biologists know that males are defective females. Though external genitalia are the expression of the chromosomal defect, their removal will not alter the chromosomal fact, any more than removal of the tails of puppies will produce a tailless breed. “Sex-change operations” can only be carried out in Swift’s Laputa. As Dwight D. Billings and Robert Urban argued in 1982:

Transsexualism is a relational process sustained in medical practice and marketed in public testimony ... The legitimization, rationalization and commodification of sex-change operations have produced an identity category transsexual for a diverse group of sexual deviants and victims of severe gender role distress.

As sufferers from gender role distress themselves, women must sympathize with transsexuals but a feminist must argue that the treatment for gender role distress is not mutilation of the sufferer but radical change of gender roles. Throughout their history women who could not carry out their prescribed gender roles have suffered all kinds of ghastly gynecological procedures and, like transsexuals, they have been grateful to their abusers. Women could hardly now condone the elaborate mutilations practised on individuals of both sexes, even though the victims argue that such mutilations are their right.

Sex-change surgery is profoundly conservative in that it reinforces sharply contrasting gender roles by shaping individuals to fit them. . . .

No one ever asked women if they recognized sex-change males as belonging to their sex or considered whether being obliged to accept MTF transsexuals as women was at all damaging to their identity or self-esteem. As far as anyone could tell, women did not mind calling sex-change males “she.” Perhaps this development should have been resisted, because it was part of the definition of the female as “other,” as simply the “not-male.” Femaleness is not the other side of the Rorschach blot of

maleness, but a sex of its own, with a sexuality of its own and a whole spectrum of possible expressions, many of which take no account of maleness at all. Woman is not placed on earth for the use of man any more than men are placed on earth for the use of women. Both could do without each other if it were not for the pesky business of sexual reproduction. . . .

A good-hearted woman is not supposed to mind that her sex is the catch-all for all cases of gender ambiguity, but her tolerance of spurious femaleness, her consent to treat it as if it is the same as her own gender identity weakens her claim to have a sex of her own and tacitly supports the Freudian stereotype of women as incomplete beings defined by their lack of a penis. Women's lack of choosiness about who may be called a woman strengthens the impression that women do not see their sex as quite real, and suggests that perhaps they too identify themselves as the not-male, the other, any other. . . .

The transsexual is identified as such solely on his/her own script, which can be as learned as any sex-typed behavior and as editorialized as autobiographies usually are. The lack of insight that MTF transsexuals usually show about the extent of their acceptance as females should be an indication that their behavior is less rational than it seems. There is a witness to the transsexual's script, a witness who is never consulted. She is the person who built the transsexual's body of her own flesh and brought it up as her son or daughter, the transsexual's worst enemy, his/her mother. Whatever else it is gender reassignment is an exorcism of the mother. When a man decides to spend his life impersonating his mother (like Norman Bates in Psycho) it is as if he murders her and gets away with it, proving at a stroke that there was nothing to her. His intentions are no more honourable than any female impersonator's; his achievement is to gag all those who would call his bluff. When he forces his way into the few private spaces women may enjoy and shouts down their objections, and bombards the women who will not accept him with threats and hate mail, he does as rapists have always done."

I Cathy Brennan owns the domain RadFem Hub. "I don't hate men," she told me. "I have a father, I have a brother, I have a son. The war that Paul Elam is waging is in his head. I worry about women and children and the increasing violence in our society." When I asked her what she thought of Solanas' "Scum Manifesto," she laughed. "I view it as A Modest Proposal-type work of literature, a satire. It's brilliant, but it's not my personal bible." - Southern Poverty Law Center's post about the Men's Rights Activist movement



Figure 1. OED defines Astroturfing as: "the deceptive practice of presenting an orchestrated marketing or public relations campaign in the guise of unsolicited comments from members of the public."

Read more assertions of fact from TERF opinion leaders here (TRIGGER WARNING): theterfs.com

* "The goal of "trans" whacktivists is to ERASE "woman" from reality" - Cathy Brennan

Cross-posted from TransGriot

Suggested citation

monica_roberts. "Trans Exterminationists In Their Own Words." TransAdvocate, April 11, 2013.
https://www.transadvocate.com/splc-astroterfing_n_8989.htm