

TRANSADVOCATE

Insane in the Swiss-Cheese-Brain, et. al.

By Kat

Published February 10, 2011 | Updated March 31, 2026

https://www.transadvocate.com/insane-in-the-swiss-cheese-brain-et-al_n_2958.htm

Abstract

*Well, well... Looks like I touched a Marriage-Derangement-Syndrome-addled nerve : WHAT THE ***** IS WRONG WITH YOU - YOU THINK GAY MEN AND LESBIANS SHOULD NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO MARRY - ***** Apparently MDS is biologically linked to Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease, as it clearly turns brains to mush. Anyone who claims to have found evidence of me [...]*

Article

Well, well...

Looks like I touched a Marriage-Derangement-Syndrome-addled nerve :

WHAT THE *** IS WRONG WITH YOU - YOU THINK GAY MEN AND LESBIANS SHOULD NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO MARRY - *******

Apparently MDS is biologically linked to Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease, as it clearly turns brains to mush.

Anyone who claims to have found evidence of me actually (read: not with qualifiers - such as ‘until trans equality is passed’ - and not as satire) saying that gays and lesbians should not have the right to marry needs to be sent immediately to mental health facility for his/her own good. And, if you seriously claim that that commented-upon post (whether at ENDABlog or here) actually (read: not with qualifiers - such as ‘until trans equality is passed’ -and not as satire) says that gays and lesbians should not have the right to marry, should seriously consider volunteering to have him/herself put down for the good of the species.

YOU ARE THE SAME AS THE FUCKING HETEROSUPREMACIST TYRANNICAL THEOCRATS

A mind is a terrible thing to lose to Marriage Derangement Syndrome. Of course, the same clown continued shaking, rattling and rolling into dementia on her (and I’m presuming ‘her’, because, though I do not claim to have proof beyond my suspicion, I smell the stench of a vintage 2001 lying, deceitful Maryland dyke) descent into the land of swiss-cheese-brains, continuing her rant against Marti:



12:57 AM Feb 9th via web in reply to mzmartipants

@mzmartipants marriage equality is employment equality pensions, insurance, the ability to be married and not be fired for marrying

12:56 AM Feb 9th via web in reply to mzmartipants

@mzmartipants is this what being a NOMZI troll looks like you don't even want transgender marriage Equality which is work Equality too

12:53 AM Feb 9th via TweetCaster in reply to mzmartipants

Ah yes...

marriage equality is employment equality pensions, insurance, the ability to be married and not be fired for marrying

Not if you don't have a job to begin with because the employers of the state can refuse to ever hire you because you're trans - you know, like the gays and lesbians of Maryland ensured that the employers of Maryland retained the right to do back in 2001?

is this what being a NOMZI troll looks like you don't even want transgender marriage Equality which is work Equality too

Wow. I think we've gone beyond swiss cheese brain to a newly re-animated prehistoric species: spongeasaurus obnoxious.

And, again, we have the claim that I don't want gays and lesbians to get married at all.

Get thee to an abby-normal-ery.

I simply don't want the same cadre of overly-privileged, out-of-touch, transphobic greedmeisters who, ten years ago, deceitfully convinced the Maryland Legislature to give overly-privileged, out-of-touch, transphobic greedmeister gays and lesbians the special right to discriminate against trans people to get even more rights while never having to live up to the always-implies - and often-explicit - promise to 'go back and add' trans people to the employment protection law.

I listened to the gay marriage bill hearing on Tuesday.

Even though I don't care much for hate crime laws, I do love to listen to the reactions of christianist psychopaths when such bills get proposed. Similarly, even though I think the very fact that Maryland is even considering gay marriage before trans employment rights are signed into law is itself a hate crime against trans people, I listened intently to see what sort of non-religion-based arguments were made against the bill per se (again, as opposed to arguments about it being something that the people who have screwed trans people don't deserve because they haven't fulfilled the back end of the 'incremental progress' lie promise) - and, of course, there weren't any, because there aren't any to be made. From a comment at a Baltimore Sun item:

The truly pathetic thing is that not one person can make a clear argument against equal marriage without resorting to the bible or religion. Keep "all" religion out of politics and make laws that apply equal treatment for all people. "All Individuals have the same right to Life, liberty, and the pursuit

of hapiness” Period.... Just be fair !!!!

All Individuals have the same right to Life, liberty, and the pursuit of hapiness

A nice thought, but ummmmm....

In Maryland?

Really?

I'm a transsexual woman. I've been legally unequal to gays and lesbians in Maryland since 2001.

Yes, I listened to the gay marriage hearing to see what religionist psychopaths had to say - and I'll post about that at some point - but right up front, even before Haggie Gallagher and Austin Nimrod talked in putrid circles, I got to listed to other interesting soundbites.

One sponsor (who noted that he is heterosexual) of the Maryland same-sex marriage bill (SB 116) noted how he had once been only in favor of extending certain rights to same-sex couples: "piecemeal approach is the wrong way to go" (this is approximately 6 minutesinto the hearing; audio of the 2/8 Judicial Proceedings Committee hearing can be found at: <http://mlis.state.md.us/mgaweb/senatecmtaudio.aspx>).

The question posed to this senator after his intro, by an anti-gay marriage senator, involved questions of whether churches/businesses can be sued under public accommodations law for refusal to deal with weddings (photos, etc.) of same-sex couples and their kids at 'christian' day camps.

Public accommodations?

What are those?

Free State Just Us, er..., Equality, er..., INEquality Maryland knows.

So do trans people - but we've never counted in Maryland, so why should now be any different?

Gay Senator Madaleno said that the gay marriage bill will rectify the current situation in which families are susceptible of being torn apart because they are:

- "“invisible in the eyes of the law.”
- “instead of security we have fear”
- “that even one family lives with this fear is bad enough”
- “when that fear is created by the laws of this state,” it is “unacceptable”

Gee...

Since 2001 Maryland has made damn sure that thousands of people know that they are legal outsiders in Maryland - and the same people who ensured that the machinations of Maryland law and politics cemented those thousands of Marylanders (not to mention any trans person who might just happen to venture into Maryland via the Beltway or the Metro or BWI) into a third-class legal status back in 2001 are now demanding more for themselves before anything is done to chip away at that third-class status.

Maryland “can end an injustice against thousands of its citizens.”

Yes it can - by dropping the marriage bill until trans people in Maryland are equal to gay men like Senator Madaleno.

In the Baltimore Sun, Lisa Polyak of Baltimore recalled “feeling ‘crushed’ in 2007 when Maryland’s highest court rejected a constitutional challenge to Maryland’s law defining marriage as between a man and a woman.” In her words, “It has taken years for us to get back up on our feet.”

2011 minus 2007...

Hmmmm...

Its simple math really.

At least it should be.

I think that comes out to FOUR years.

And in those FOUR years - not to mention the SIX which preceded them - in Maryland Polyak has had the right to challenge discriminatory actions taken against her because of her sexual orientation.

And, of course, during all ten of those years, she’s also had the right to commit those same discriminatory actions against trans people because people like Cathy Brennan, Shannon Avery and Liz Seaton lied to Maryland Legislature - and all segments of the populace who might have been paying attention - a decade ago to get that gay-only law that she now enjoys passed. Whether she’s ever used the ability she has pursuant to that law - the ability to discriminate against trans people - is immaterial.

She and all the other gays and lesbians in Maryland are genetically linked via that 2001 atrocity - and anyone who is choosing to focus on marriage instead of rectifying that hate crime is as guilty as the transphobic con artists who engineered it.

Yet, biology doesn’t have to be destiny.

She and all the other gays and lesbians in Maryland can damn well wait for marriage until the hate crime perpetrated against trans people in Maryland in 2001 is rectified. But, those who can’t are no better than those of Brennan-Avery-Seaton Free State Just Us Axis - and don’t deserve any rights at all, much less marriage.

[Cross-posted - albeit with ever-so-slightly-different wording - at ENDABlog]

Suggested citation

Kat. "Insane in the Swiss-Cheese-Brain, et. al." TransAdvocate, February 10, 2011.
https://www.transadvocate.com/insane-in-the-swiss-cheese-brain-et-al_n_2958.htm